Supplementary Material for the Manuscript "On the Implicit Bias of Adam"

October 6, 2023

Contents

SA-1	Overview	1
SA-2	RMSProp with ε outside the square root	2
SA-3	RMSProp with ε inside the square root	5
SA-4	Adam with ε outside the square root	7
SA-5	Adam with ε inside the square root	11
SA-6	Technical bounding lemmas	12
SA-7	Proof of Theorem SA-2.3	21
SA-8	Numerical experiments	27

SA-1 Overview

SA-1.1. This appendix provides some omitted details and proofs.

We consider two algorithms: RMSProp and Adam, and two versions of each algorithm (with the numerical stability ε parameter inside and outside of the square root in the denominator). This means there are four main theorems: Theorem SA-2.4, Theorem SA-3.4, Theorem SA-4.4 and Theorem SA-5.4, each residing in the section completely devoted to one algorithm. The simple induction argument taken from [1], essentially the same for each of these theorems, is based on an auxiliary result whose corresponding versions are Theorem SA-2.3, Theorem SA-3.3, Theorem SA-4.3 and Theorem SA-5.3. The proof of this result is also elementary but long, and it is done by a series of lemmas in Section SA-6 and Section SA-7, culminating in Section SA-7.6. Out of these four, we only prove Theorem SA-2.3 since the other three results are proven in the same way with obvious changes.

Section SA-8 contains some details about the numerical experiments.

SA-1.2 Notation. We denote the loss of the kth minibatch as a function of the network parameters $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^p$ by $E_k(\theta)$, and in the full-batch setting we omit the index and write $E(\theta)$. As usual, ∇E means the gradient of E, and nabla with indices means partial derivatives, e. g. $\nabla_{ijs}E$ is a shortcut for $\frac{\partial^3 E}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j \partial \theta_j}$.

The letter T > 0 will always denote a finite time horizon of the ODEs, h will always denote the training step size, and we will replace nh with t_n when convenient, where $n \in \{0, 1, \ldots\}$ is the step number. We will use the same notation for the iteration of the discrete algorithm $\left\{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$, the piecewise ODE

solution $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)$ and some auxiliary terms for each of the four algorithms: see Definition SA-2.1, Definition SA-3.1, Definition SA-4.1, Definition SA-5.1. This way, we avoid cluttering the notation significantly. We are careful to reference the relevant definition in all theorem statements.

SA-2 RMSProp with ε outside the square root

Definition SA-2.1. In this section, for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $\nu^{(0)} = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $\rho \in (0,1)$, let the sequence of p-vectors $\left\{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ be defined for $n \geq 0$ by

$$\nu_j^{(n+1)} = \rho \nu_j^{(n)} + (1 - \rho) \left(\nabla_j E_n \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)} \right) \right)^2,$$

$$\theta_j^{(n+1)} = \theta_j^{(n)} - \frac{h}{\sqrt{\nu_j^{(n+1)}} + \varepsilon} \nabla_j E_n \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)} \right).$$
(SA-2.1)

Let $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)$ be defined as a continuous solution to the piecewise ODE

$$\dot{\tilde{\theta}}_{j}(t) = -\frac{\nabla_{j} E_{n}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))}{R_{j}^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) + \varepsilon} + h \left(\frac{\nabla_{j} E_{n}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) \left(2P_{j}^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) + \bar{P}_{j}^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))\right)}{2\left(R_{j}^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) + \varepsilon\right)^{2} R_{j}^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{n}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{n}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))}{R_{i}^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) + \varepsilon}}{2\left(R_{j}^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) + \varepsilon\right)} \right) (SA-2.2)$$

with the initial condition $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(0) = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)}$, where $\mathbf{R}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\mathbf{P}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and $\bar{\mathbf{P}}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ are p-dimensional functions with components

$$\begin{split} R_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &:= \sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \big(\nabla_j E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \big)^2}, \\ P_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &:= \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_j E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E_l(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{R_i^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \varepsilon}, \\ \bar{P}_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &:= \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_j E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \frac{\nabla_i E_n(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{R_i^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \varepsilon}. \end{split}$$

Assumption SA-2.2.

1. For some positive constants M_1 , M_2 , M_3 , M_4 we have

$$\sup_{i} \sup_{k} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left| \nabla_{i} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right| \leq M_{1},$$

$$\sup_{i,j} \sup_{k} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left| \nabla_{ij} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right| \leq M_{2},$$

$$\sup_{i,j,s} \sup_{k} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left| \nabla_{ijs} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right| \leq M_{3},$$

$$\sup_{i,j,s,r} \sup_{k} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left| \nabla_{ijsr} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right| \leq M_{4}.$$

2. For some R > 0 we have for all $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, |T/h|\}$

$$R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n)) \ge R, \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k))\right)^2 \ge R^2,$$

where $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)$ is defined in Definition SA-2.1.

Theorem SA-2.3 (RMSProp with ε outside: local error bound). Suppose Assumption SA-2.2 holds. Then for all $n \in \{0, 1, ..., \lfloor T/h \rfloor\}$

$$\left| \tilde{\theta}_j(t_{n+1}) - \tilde{\theta}_j(t_n) + h \frac{\nabla_j E_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n))}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k))\right)^2} + \varepsilon} \right| \le C_1 h^3$$

for a positive constant C_1 depending on ρ .

The proof of Theorem SA-2.3 is conceptually simple but very technical, and we delay it until Section SA-7. For now assuming it as given and combining it with a simple induction argument gives a global error bound which follows.

Theorem SA-2.4 (RMSProp with ε outside: global error bound). Suppose Assumption SA-2.2 holds, and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \right) \right)^2 \ge R^2$$

for $\left\{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}\right\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ defined in Definition SA-2.1. Then there exist positive constants d_1 , d_2 , d_3 such that for all $n\in\left\{0,1,\ldots,\lfloor T/h\rfloor\right\}$

$$\|\mathbf{e}_n\| \le d_1 e^{d_2 nh} h^2$$
 and $\|\mathbf{e}_{n+1} - \mathbf{e}_n\| \le d_3 e^{d_2 nh} h^3$

where $\mathbf{e}_n := \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)}$. The constants can be defined as

$$d_1 := C_1,$$

$$d_2 := \left[1 + \frac{M_2 \sqrt{p}}{R + \varepsilon} \left(\frac{M_1^2}{R(R + \varepsilon)} + 1 \right) d_1 \right] \sqrt{p},$$

$$d_3 := C_1 d_2.$$

Proof. We will show this by induction over n, the same way an analogous bound is shown in [1]. The base case is n = 0. Indeed, $\mathbf{e}_0 = \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(0) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)} = \mathbf{0}$. Then the jth component of $\mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_0$ is

$$[\mathbf{e}_{1} - \mathbf{e}_{0}]_{j} = [\mathbf{e}_{1}]_{j} = \tilde{\theta}_{j}(t_{1}) - \theta_{j}^{(0)} + \frac{h\nabla_{j}E_{0}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)})}{\sqrt{(1 - \rho)\left(\nabla_{j}E_{0}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)})\right)^{2} + \varepsilon}}$$

$$= \tilde{\theta}_{j}(t_{1}) - \tilde{\theta}_{j}(t_{0}) + \frac{h\nabla_{j}E_{0}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{0}))}{\sqrt{(1 - \rho)\left(\nabla_{j}E_{0}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{0}))\right)^{2} + \varepsilon}}.$$

By Theorem SA-2.3, the absolute value of the right-hand side does not exceed C_1h^3 , which means $\|\mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_0\| \le C_1h^3\sqrt{p}$. Since $C_1\sqrt{p} \le d_3$, the base case is proven.

Now suppose that for all k = 0, 1, ..., n - 1 the claim

$$\|\mathbf{e}_k\| \le d_1 e^{d_2 k h} h^2$$
 and $\|\mathbf{e}_{k+1} - \mathbf{e}_k\| \le d_3 e^{d_2 k h} h^3$

is proven. Then

$$\|\mathbf{e}_n\| \stackrel{\text{(a)}}{\leq} \|\mathbf{e}_{n-1}\| + \|\mathbf{e}_n - \mathbf{e}_{n-1}\| \leq d_1 e^{d_2(n-1)h} h^2 + d_3 e^{d_2(n-1)h} h^3$$

$$= d_1 e^{d_2(n-1)h} h^2 \left(1 + \frac{d_3}{d_1} h\right) \stackrel{\text{(b)}}{\leq} d_1 e^{d_2(n-1)h} h^2 (1 + d_2 h)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{(c)}}{\leq} d_1 e^{d_2(n-1)h} h^2 \cdot e^{d_2h} = d_1 e^{d_2nh} h^2.$$

where (a) is by the triangle inequality, (b) is by $d_3/d_1 \le d_2$, in (c) we used $1 + x \le e^x$ for all $x \ge 0$. Next, combining Theorem SA-2.3 with (SA-2.1), we have

$$\left| \left[\mathbf{e}_{n+1} - \mathbf{e}_{n} \right]_{j} \right| \leq C_{1} h^{3} + h \left| \frac{\nabla_{j} E_{n} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right)}{\sqrt{A} + \varepsilon} - \frac{\nabla_{j} E_{n} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)} \right)}{\sqrt{B} + \varepsilon} \right|, \tag{SA-2.3}$$

where to simplify notation we put

$$A := \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right) \right)^2,$$
$$B := \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \right) \right)^2.$$

Using $A \geq R^2$, $B \geq R^2$, we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{A} + \varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{B} + \varepsilon} \right| = \frac{|A - B|}{\left(\sqrt{A} + \varepsilon\right)\left(\sqrt{B} + \varepsilon\right)\left(\sqrt{A} + \sqrt{B}\right)} \le \frac{|A - B|}{2R(R + \varepsilon)^2}.$$
 (SA-2.4)

But since

$$\left| \left(\nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{k}) \right) \right)^{2} - \left(\nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \right) \right)^{2} \right| \\
= \left| \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{k}) \right) - \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \right) \right| \cdot \left| \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{k}) \right) + \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \right) \right| \\
\leq 2 M_{1} \left| \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{k}) \right) - \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \right) \right| \leq 2 M_{1} M_{2} \sqrt{p} \left\| \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{k}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \right\|$$

we have

as

$$|A - B| \le 2M_1 M_2 \sqrt{p} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}\|.$$
 (SA-2.5)

Combining (SA-2.4) and (SA-2.5), we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\nabla_{j} E_{n} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right)}{\sqrt{A} + \varepsilon} - \frac{\nabla_{j} E_{n} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)} \right)}{\sqrt{B} + \varepsilon} \right| \\
\leq \left| \nabla_{j} E_{n} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right) \right| \cdot \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{A} + \varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{B} + \varepsilon} \right| + \frac{\left| \nabla_{j} E_{n} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right) - \nabla_{j} E_{n} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)} \right) \right|}{\sqrt{B} + \varepsilon} \\
\leq M_{1} \cdot \frac{2M_{1} M_{2} \sqrt{p} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \left\| \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{k}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \right\|}{2R(R + \varepsilon)^{2}} + \frac{M_{2} \sqrt{p} \left\| \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)} \right\|}{R + \varepsilon} \\
= \frac{M_{1}^{2} M_{2} \sqrt{p}}{R(R + \varepsilon)^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \left\| \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{k}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \right\| + \frac{M_{2} \sqrt{p}}{R + \varepsilon} \left\| \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)} \right\| \\
\leq \frac{M_{1}^{2} M_{2} \sqrt{p}}{R(R + \varepsilon)^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) d_{1} e^{d_{2}kh} h^{2} + \frac{M_{2} \sqrt{p}}{R + \varepsilon} d_{1} e^{d_{2}nh} h^{2}, \tag{SA-2.6}$$

where in (a) we used the induction hypothesis and that the bound on $\|\mathbf{e}_n\|$ is already proven. Now note that since $0 < \rho e^{-d_2 h} \le \rho$, we have $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \left(\rho e^{-d_2 h}\right)^k \le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho^k = \frac{1}{1-\rho}$, which is rewritten

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) e^{d_2 k h} \le e^{d_2 n h}.$$

Then we can continue (SA-2.6):

$$\left| \frac{\nabla_{j} E_{n} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right)}{\sqrt{A} + \varepsilon} - \frac{\nabla_{j} E_{n} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)} \right)}{\sqrt{B} + \varepsilon} \right| \leq \frac{M_{2} \sqrt{p}}{R + \varepsilon} \left(\frac{M_{1}^{2}}{R(R + \varepsilon)} + 1 \right) d_{1} e^{d_{2}nh} h^{2}$$
 (SA-2.7)

Again using $1 \le e^{d_2 nh}$, we conclude from (SA-2.3) and (SA-2.7) that

$$\|\mathbf{e}_{n+1} - \mathbf{e}_n\| \le \underbrace{\left(C_1 + \frac{M_2\sqrt{p}}{R+\varepsilon} \left(\frac{M_1^2}{R(R+\varepsilon)} + 1\right) d_1\right)\sqrt{p}}_{\leq d_3} e^{d_2nh} h^3,$$

finishing the induction step.

SA-2.5 RMSProp with ε outside: full-batch. In the full-batch setting $E_k \equiv E$, the terms in (SA-2.2) simplify to

$$\begin{split} R_{j}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \left| \nabla_{j} E(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right| \sqrt{1 - \rho^{n+1}}, \\ P_{j}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \nabla_{j} E(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_{i} E(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\left| \nabla_{i} E(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right| \sqrt{1 - \rho^{l+1}} + \varepsilon}, \\ \bar{P}_{j}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \left(1 - \rho^{n+1} \right) \nabla_{j} E(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \frac{\nabla_{i} E(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\left| \nabla_{i} E(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right| \sqrt{1 - \rho^{n+1}} + \varepsilon}. \end{split}$$

If ε is small and the iteration number n is large, (SA-2.2) simplifies to

$$\begin{split} \dot{\tilde{\theta}}_{j}(t) &= -\operatorname{sign} \nabla_{j} E(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) + h \frac{\rho}{1 - \rho} \cdot \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) \operatorname{sign} \nabla_{i} E(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))}{\left| \nabla_{j} E(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) \right|} \\ &= \left| \nabla_{j} E(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) \right|^{-1} \left[-\nabla_{j} E(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) + h \frac{\rho}{1 - \rho} \nabla_{j} \left\| \nabla E(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) \right\|_{1} \right]. \end{split}$$

SA-3 RMSProp with ε inside the square root

Definition SA-3.1. In this section, for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $\nu^{(0)} = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $\rho \in (0,1)$, let the sequence of p-vectors $\left\{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ be defined for $n \geq 0$ by

$$\nu_j^{(n+1)} = \rho \nu_j^{(n)} + (1 - \rho) \left(\nabla_j E_n \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)} \right) \right)^2,$$

$$\theta_j^{(n+1)} = \theta_j^{(n)} - \frac{h}{\sqrt{\nu_j^{(n+1)} + \varepsilon}} \nabla_j E_n \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)} \right).$$
(SA-3.1)

Let $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)$ be defined as a continuous solution to the piecewise ODE

$$\dot{\tilde{\theta}}_{j}(t) = -\frac{\nabla_{j} E_{n}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)}{R_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)} + h \left(\frac{\nabla_{j} E_{n}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)\left(2P_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right) + \bar{P}_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)\right)}{2R_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)^{3}} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{n}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right) \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{n}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)}{R_{i}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)}}{2R_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)}\right). \tag{SA-3.2}$$

with the initial condition $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(0) = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)}$, where $\mathbf{R}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\mathbf{P}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and $\bar{\mathbf{P}}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ are *p*-dimensional functions with components

$$R_{j}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := \sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left(\nabla_{j} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\right)^{2} + \varepsilon},$$

$$P_{j}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_{j} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{l}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{R_{i}^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})},$$

$$\bar{P}_{j}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_{j} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{n}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{R_{i}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}.$$
(SA-3.3)

Assumption SA-3.2. For some positive constants M_1 , M_2 , M_3 , M_4 we have

$$\sup_{i} \sup_{k} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left| \nabla_{i} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right| \leq M_{1},$$

$$\sup_{i,j} \sup_{k} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left| \nabla_{ij} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right| \leq M_{2},$$

$$\sup_{i,j,s} \sup_{k} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left| \nabla_{ijs} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right| \leq M_{3},$$

$$\sup_{i,j,s,r} \sup_{k} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left| \nabla_{ijsr} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right| \leq M_{4}.$$

Theorem SA-3.3 (RMSProp with ε inside: local error bound). Suppose Assumption SA-3.2 holds. Then for all $n \in \{0, 1, ..., \lfloor T/h \rfloor\}$

$$\left| \tilde{\theta}_j(t_{n+1}) - \tilde{\theta}_j(t_n) + h \frac{\nabla_j E_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n))}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k))\right)^2 + \varepsilon}} \right| \le C_2 h^3$$

for a positive constant C_2 depending on ρ , where $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)$ is defined in Definition SA-3.1.

We omit the proof since it is essentially the same argument as for Theorem SA-2.3.

Theorem SA-3.4 (RMSProp with ε inside: global error bound). Suppose Assumption SA-3.2 holds. Then there exist positive constants d_4 , d_5 , d_6 such that for all $n \in \{0, 1, ..., \lfloor T/h \rfloor\}$

$$\|\mathbf{e}_n\| \le d_4 e^{d_5 nh} h^2$$
 and $\|\mathbf{e}_{n+1} - \mathbf{e}_n\| \le d_6 e^{d_5 nh} h^3$,

where $\mathbf{e}_n := \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)}$; $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)$ and $\left\{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ are defined in Definition SA-3.1. The constants can be defined as

$$\begin{aligned} d_4 &:= C_2, \\ d_5 &:= \left[1 + \frac{M_2 \sqrt{p}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{M_1^2}{\varepsilon} + 1 \right) d_4 \right] \sqrt{p}, \\ d_6 &:= C_2 d_5. \end{aligned}$$

We omit the proof since it is essentially the same argument as for Theorem SA-2.4.

SA-3.5 RMSProp with ε **inside: full-batch.** In the full-batch setting $E_k \equiv E$, the terms in (SA-3.2) simplify to

$$R_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sqrt{\left|\nabla_j E(\boldsymbol{\theta})\right|^2 (1 - \rho^{n+1}) + \varepsilon},$$

$$P_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_j E(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\sqrt{|\nabla_i E(\boldsymbol{\theta})|^2 (1-\rho^{l+1}) + \varepsilon}},$$

$$\bar{P}_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = (1-\rho^{n+1}) \nabla_j E(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \frac{\nabla_i E(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\sqrt{|\nabla_i E(\boldsymbol{\theta})|^2 (1-\rho^{n+1}) + \varepsilon}}.$$

If the iteration number n is large, (SA-3.2) rapidly becomes

$$\dot{\tilde{\theta}}_{j}(t) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\nabla_{j} E(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))|^{2} + \varepsilon}} (\nabla_{j} E(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) + \text{bias}), \tag{SA-3.4}$$

where

bias :=
$$\frac{h}{2} \left\{ -\frac{2\rho}{1-\rho} + \frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{|\nabla_j E(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))|^2 + \varepsilon} \right\} \nabla_j \|\nabla E(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))\|_{1,\varepsilon}.$$
 (SA-3.5)

SA-4 Adam with ε outside the square root

Definition SA-4.1. In this section, for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $\nu^{(0)} = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $\beta, \rho \in (0, 1)$, let the sequence of p-vectors $\left\{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ be defined for $n \geq 0$ by

$$\begin{split} \nu_{j}^{(n+1)} &= \rho \nu_{j}^{(n)} + (1-\rho) \bigg(\nabla_{j} E_{n} \bigg(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)} \bigg) \bigg)^{2}, \\ m_{j}^{(n+1)} &= \beta m_{j}^{(n)} + (1-\beta) \nabla_{j} E_{n} \bigg(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)} \bigg), \\ \theta_{j}^{(n+1)} &= \theta_{j}^{(n)} - h \frac{m_{j}^{(n+1)} / (1-\beta^{n+1})}{\sqrt{\nu_{j}^{(n+1)} / (1-\rho^{n+1})} + \varepsilon} \end{split}$$

or, rewriting,

$$\theta_{j}^{(n+1)} = \theta_{j}^{(n)} - h \frac{\frac{1}{1-\beta^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta^{n-k} (1-\beta) \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{1-\rho^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left(\nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}\right)\right)^{2} + \varepsilon}}.$$
 (SA-4.1)

Let $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)$ be defined as a continuous solution to the piecewise ODE

$$\dot{\tilde{\theta}}_{j}(t) = -\frac{M_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)}{R_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right) + \varepsilon} + h\left(\frac{M_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)\left(2P_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right) + \bar{P}_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)\right)}{2\left(R_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right) + \varepsilon\right)^{2}R_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)} - \frac{2L_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right) + \bar{L}_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)}{2\left(R_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right) + \varepsilon\right)}\right). \tag{SA-4.2}$$

with the initial condition $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(0) = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)}$, where $\mathbf{R}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\mathbf{P}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\bar{\mathbf{P}}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\mathbf{M}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\bar{\mathbf{L}}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, are

p-dimensional functions with components

$$R_{j}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := \sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) (\nabla_{j} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}))^{2} / (1 - \rho^{n+1})},$$

$$M_{j}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := \frac{1}{1 - \beta^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta^{n-k} (1 - \beta) \nabla_{j} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}),$$

$$L_{j}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := \frac{1}{1 - \beta^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta^{n-k} (1 - \beta) \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{M_{i}^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{R_{i}^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \varepsilon},$$

$$\bar{L}_{j}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := \frac{1}{1 - \beta^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta^{n-k} (1 - \beta) \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \frac{M_{i}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{R_{i}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \varepsilon},$$

$$P_{j}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := \frac{1}{1 - \rho^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \nabla_{j} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{M_{i}^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{R_{i}^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \varepsilon},$$

$$\bar{P}_{j}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := \frac{1}{1 - \rho^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \nabla_{j} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \frac{M_{i}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{R_{i}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \varepsilon}.$$

Assumption SA-4.2.

1. For some positive constants M_1 , M_2 , M_3 , M_4 we have

$$\sup_{i} \sup_{k} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left| \nabla_{i} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right| \leq M_{1},$$

$$\sup_{i,j} \sup_{k} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left| \nabla_{ij} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right| \leq M_{2},$$

$$\sup_{i,j,s} \sup_{k} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left| \nabla_{ijs} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right| \leq M_{3},$$

$$\sup_{i,j,s} \sup_{k} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left| \nabla_{ijsr} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right| \leq M_{4}.$$

2. For some R > 0 we have for all $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor T/h \rfloor\}$

$$R_j^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n)\right) \ge R, \quad \frac{1}{1-\rho^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k)\right)\right)^2 \ge R^2,$$

where $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)$ is defined in Definition SA-4.1.

Theorem SA-4.3 (Adam with ε outside: local error bound). Suppose Assumption SA-4.2 holds. Then for all $n \in \{0, 1, ..., \lfloor T/h \rfloor\}$

$$\left| \tilde{\theta}_j(t_{n+1}) - \tilde{\theta}_j(t_n) + h \frac{\frac{1}{1-\beta^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \beta^{n-k} (1-\beta) \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{1-\rho^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right) \right)^2 + \varepsilon}} \right| \le C_3 h^3$$

for a positive constant C_3 depending on β and ρ .

We omit the proof since it is essentially the same argument as for Theorem SA-2.3.

Theorem SA-4.4 (Adam with ε outside: global error bound). Suppose Assumption SA-4.2 holds, and

$$\frac{1}{1-\rho^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \right) \right)^2 \ge R^2$$

for $\left\{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}\right\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ defined in Definition SA-4.1. Then there exist positive constants d_7 , d_8 , d_9 such that for all $n\in\left\{0,1,\ldots,\lfloor T/h\rfloor\right\}$

$$\|\mathbf{e}_n\| \le d_7 e^{d_8 nh} h^2$$
 and $\|\mathbf{e}_{n+1} - \mathbf{e}_n\| \le d_9 e^{d_8 nh} h^3$,

where $\mathbf{e}_n := \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)}$. The constants can be defined as

$$d_7 := C_3,$$

$$d_8 := \left[1 + \frac{M_2 \sqrt{p}}{R + \varepsilon} \left(\frac{M_1^2}{R(R + \varepsilon)} + 1 \right) d_7 \right] \sqrt{p},$$

$$d_9 := C_3 d_8.$$

Proof. Analogously to Theorem SA-2.4, we will prove this by induction over n.

The base case is n = 0. Indeed, $\mathbf{e}_0 = \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(0) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)} = \mathbf{0}$. Then the jth component of $\mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_0$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\mathbf{e}_{1} - \mathbf{e}_{0}\right]_{j} &= \left[\mathbf{e}_{1}\right]_{j} = \tilde{\theta}_{j}(t_{1}) - \theta_{j}^{(0)} + \frac{h\nabla_{j}E_{0}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)}\right)}{\left|\nabla_{j}E_{0}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)}\right)\right| + \varepsilon} \\ &= \tilde{\theta}_{j}(t_{1}) - \tilde{\theta}_{j}(t_{0}) + \frac{h\nabla_{j}E_{0}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{0})\right)}{\sqrt{\left(\nabla_{j}E_{0}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{0})\right)\right)^{2} + \varepsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem SA-4.3, the absolute value of the right-hand side does not exceed C_3h^3 , which means $\|\mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_0\| \le C_3h^3\sqrt{p}$. Since $C_3\sqrt{p} \le d_9$, the base case is proven.

Now suppose that for all k = 0, 1, ..., n - 1 the claim

$$\|\mathbf{e}_k\| \le d_7 e^{d_8 k h} h^2$$
 and $\|\mathbf{e}_{k+1} - \mathbf{e}_k\| \le d_9 e^{d_8 k h} h^3$

is proven. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{e}_{n}\| &\overset{\text{(a)}}{\leq} \|\mathbf{e}_{n-1}\| + \|\mathbf{e}_{n} - \mathbf{e}_{n-1}\| \leq d_{7}e^{d_{8}(n-1)h}h^{2} + d_{9}e^{d_{8}(n-1)h}h^{3} \\ &= d_{7}e^{d_{8}(n-1)h}h^{2} \left(1 + \frac{d_{9}}{d_{7}}h\right) \overset{\text{(b)}}{\leq} d_{7}e^{d_{8}(n-1)h}h^{2}(1 + d_{8}h) \\ \overset{\text{(c)}}{\leq} d_{7}e^{d_{8}(n-1)h}h^{2} \cdot e^{d_{8}h} = d_{7}e^{d_{8}nh}h^{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where (a) is by the triangle inequality, (b) is by $d_9/d_7 \le d_8$, in (c) we used $1 + x \le e^x$ for all $x \ge 0$. Next, combining Theorem SA-4.3 with (SA-4.1), we have

$$\left| \left[\mathbf{e}_{n+1} - \mathbf{e}_n \right]_j \right| \le C_3 h^3 + h \left| \frac{N'}{\sqrt{D'} + \varepsilon} - \frac{N''}{\sqrt{D''} + \varepsilon} \right|, \tag{SA-4.4}$$

where to simplify notation we put

$$N' := \frac{1}{1 - \beta^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta^{n-k} (1 - \beta) \nabla_{j} E_{k} \Big(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \Big),$$

$$N'' := \frac{1}{1 - \beta^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta^{n-k} (1 - \beta) \nabla_{j} E_{k} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{k}) \Big),$$

$$D' := \frac{1}{1 - \rho^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \Big(\nabla_{j} E_{k} \Big(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \Big) \Big)^{2},$$

$$D'' := \frac{1}{1 - \rho^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \Big(\nabla_{j} E_{k} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{k}) \Big) \Big)^{2}.$$

Using $D' \geq R^2$, $D'' \geq R^2$, we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{D'} + \varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{D''} + \varepsilon} \right| = \frac{\left| D' - D'' \right|}{\left(\sqrt{D'} + \varepsilon \right) \left(\sqrt{D''} + \varepsilon \right) \left(\sqrt{D'} + \sqrt{D''} \right)} \le \frac{\left| D' - D'' \right|}{2R(R + \varepsilon)^2}.$$
 (SA-4.5)

But since

$$\left| \left(\nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \right) \right)^{2} - \left(\nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{k}) \right) \right)^{2} \right| \\
= \left| \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \right) - \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{k}) \right) \right| \cdot \left| \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \right) + \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{k}) \right) \right| \\
\leq 2 M_{1} \left| \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \right) - \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{k}) \right) \right| \leq 2 M_{1} M_{2} \sqrt{p} \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{k}) \right\|$$

we have

$$|D' - D''| \le \frac{2M_1 M_2 \sqrt{p}}{1 - \rho^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \|.$$
 (SA-4.6)

Similarly,

$$\left| N' - N'' \right| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \beta^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta^{n-k} (1 - \beta) \left| \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \right) - \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{k}) \right) \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{1 - \beta^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta^{n-k} (1 - \beta) M_{2} \sqrt{p} \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{k}) \right\|.$$
(SA-4.7)

Combining (SA-4.5), (SA-4.6) and (SA-4.7), we get

$$\left| \frac{N'}{\sqrt{D'} + \varepsilon} - \frac{N''}{\sqrt{D''} + \varepsilon} \right| \leq |N'| \cdot \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{D'} + \varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{D''} + \varepsilon} \right| + \frac{|N' - N''|}{\sqrt{D''} + \varepsilon} \\
\leq \frac{1}{1 - \beta^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta^{n-k} (1 - \beta) M_1 \cdot \frac{2M_1 M_2 \sqrt{p}}{2R(R + \varepsilon)^2 (1 - \rho^{n+1})} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right\| \\
+ \frac{M_2 \sqrt{p}}{(R + \varepsilon)(1 - \beta^{n+1})} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta^{n-k} (1 - \beta) \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right\| \\
= \frac{M_1^2 M_2 \sqrt{p}}{R(R + \varepsilon)^2 (1 - \rho^{n+1})} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right\| \\
+ \frac{M_2 \sqrt{p}}{(R + \varepsilon)(1 - \beta^{n+1})} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta^{n-k} (1 - \beta) \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right\| \\
\leq \frac{M_1^2 M_2 \sqrt{p}}{R(R + \varepsilon)^2 (1 - \rho^{n+1})} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) d_7 e^{d_8 k h} h^2 \\
+ \frac{M_2 \sqrt{p}}{(R + \varepsilon)(1 - \beta^{n+1})} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta^{n-k} (1 - \beta) d_7 e^{d_8 k h} h^2, \tag{SA-4.8}$$

where in (a) we used the induction hypothesis and that the bound on $\|\mathbf{e}_n\|$ is already proven. Now note that since $0 < \rho e^{-d_8 h} < \rho$, we have $\sum_{k=0}^n \left(\rho e^{-d_8 h}\right)^k \le \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^k = \left(1 - \rho^{n+1}\right)/(1 - \rho)$, which is rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{1 - \rho^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) e^{d_8 kh} \le e^{d_8 nh}.$$

By the same logic,

$$\frac{1}{1-\beta^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta^{n-k} (1-\beta) e^{d_8kh} \le e^{d_8nh}.$$

Then we can continue (SA-4.8):

$$\left| \frac{N'}{\sqrt{D'} + \varepsilon} - \frac{N''}{\sqrt{D''} + \varepsilon} \right| \le \frac{M_2 \sqrt{p}}{R + \varepsilon} \left(\frac{M_1^2}{R(R + \varepsilon)} + 1 \right) d_7 e^{d_8 n h} h^2 \tag{SA-4.9}$$

Again using $1 \le e^{d_8nh}$, we conclude from (SA-4.4) and (SA-4.9) that

$$\|\mathbf{e}_{n+1} - \mathbf{e}_n\| \le \underbrace{\left(C_3 + \frac{M_2\sqrt{p}}{R+\varepsilon} \left(\frac{M_1^2}{R(R+\varepsilon)} + 1\right) d_7\right) \sqrt{p}}_{\le d_9} e^{d_8nh} h^3,$$

finishing the induction step.

SA-5 Adam with ε inside the square root

Definition SA-5.1. In this section, for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $\nu^{(0)} = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $\beta, \rho \in (0, 1)$, let the sequence of p-vectors $\left\{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ be defined for $n \geq 0$ by

$$\nu_{j}^{(n+1)} = \rho \nu_{j}^{(n)} + (1 - \rho) \left(\nabla_{j} E_{n} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)} \right) \right)^{2},
m_{j}^{(n+1)} = \beta m_{j}^{(n)} + (1 - \beta) \nabla_{j} E_{n} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)} \right),
\theta_{j}^{(n+1)} = \theta_{j}^{(n)} - h \frac{m_{j}^{(n+1)} / (1 - \beta^{n+1})}{\sqrt{\nu_{j}^{(n+1)} / (1 - \rho^{n+1}) + \varepsilon}}.$$
(SA-5.1)

Let $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)$ be defined as a continuous solution to the piecewise ODE

$$\dot{\tilde{\theta}}_{j}(t) = -\frac{M_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)}{R_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)} + h\left(\frac{M_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)\left(2P_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right) + \bar{P}_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)\right)}{2R_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)^{3}} - \frac{2L_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right) + \bar{L}_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)}{2R_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)}\right). \tag{SA-5.2}$$

with the initial condition $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(0) = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)}$, where $\mathbf{R}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\mathbf{P}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\bar{\mathbf{P}}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\mathbf{M}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\bar{\mathbf{L}}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\bar{\mathbf{L}}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ are p-dimensional functions with components

$$R_{j}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := \sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left(\nabla_{j} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\right)^{2} / (1-\rho^{n+1}) + \varepsilon},$$

$$M_{j}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := \frac{1}{1-\beta^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta^{n-k} (1-\beta) \nabla_{j} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}),$$

$$L_{j}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := \frac{1}{1-\beta^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta^{n-k} (1-\beta) \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{M_{i}^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{R_{i}^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})},$$

$$\bar{L}_{j}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := \frac{1}{1-\beta^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta^{n-k} (1-\beta) \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \frac{M_{i}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{R_{i}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})},$$

$$P_{j}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := \frac{1}{1-\rho^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_{j} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{M_{i}^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{R_{i}^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})},$$

$$\bar{P}_{j}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := \frac{1}{1-\rho^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_{j} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \frac{M_{i}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{R_{i}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}.$$

Assumption SA-5.2. For some positive constants M_1 , M_2 , M_3 , M_4 we have

$$\sup_{i} \sup_{k} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} |\nabla_{i} E_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta})| \leq M_{1},$$

$$\sup_{i,j} \sup_{k} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left| \nabla_{ij} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right| \leq M_2,$$

$$\sup_{i,j,s} \sup_{k} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left| \nabla_{ijs} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right| \leq M_3,$$

$$\sup_{i,j,s,r} \sup_{k} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left| \nabla_{ijsr} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right| \leq M_4.$$

Theorem SA-5.3 (Adam with ε inside: local error bound). Suppose Assumption SA-5.2 holds. Then for all $n \in \{0, 1, ..., \lfloor T/h \rfloor\}$

$$\left| \tilde{\theta}_j(t_{n+1}) - \tilde{\theta}_j(t_n) + h \frac{\frac{1}{1-\beta^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \beta^{n-k} (1-\beta) \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{1-\rho^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right) \right)^2 + \varepsilon}} \right| \le C_4 h^3$$

for a positive constant C_4 depending on β and ρ .

We omit the proof since it is essentially the same argument as for Theorem SA-2.3.

Theorem SA-5.4 (Adam with ε inside: global error bound). Suppose Assumption SA-5.2 holds for $\left\{\theta^{(k)}\right\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ defined in Definition SA-5.1. Then there exist positive constants d_{10} , d_{11} , d_{12} such that for all $n\in\{0,1,\ldots,\lfloor T/h\rfloor\}$

$$\|\mathbf{e}_n\| \le d_{10}e^{d_{11}nh}h^2$$
 and $\|\mathbf{e}_{n+1} - \mathbf{e}_n\| \le d_{12}e^{d_{11}nh}h^3$,

where $\mathbf{e}_n := \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)}$. The constants can be defined as

$$\begin{split} d_{10} &:= C_4, \\ d_{11} &:= \left[1 + \frac{M_2 \sqrt{p}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{M_1^2}{\varepsilon} + 1\right) d_{10}\right] \sqrt{p}, \\ d_{12} &:= C_4 d_{11}. \end{split}$$

SA-6 Technical bounding lemmas

We will need the following lemmas to prove Theorem SA-2.3.

Lemma SA-6.1. Suppose Assumption SA-2.2 holds. Then

$$\sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left| P_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right| \le C_5, \tag{SA-6.1}$$

$$\sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left| \bar{P}_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right| \le C_6, \tag{SA-6.2}$$

with constants C_5 , C_6 defined as follows:

$$C_5 := p \frac{M_1^2 M_2}{R + \varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\rho}{1 - \rho},$$

$$C_6 := p \frac{M_1^2 M_2}{R + \varepsilon}.$$

Proof of Lemma SA-6.1. The proof is done in the following simple steps.

SA-6.2 Proof of (SA-6.1). This bound is straightforward:

$$\sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left| P_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right| = \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_j E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E_l(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{R_i^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \varepsilon} \right|$$

$$\leq p \frac{M_1^2 M_2}{R + \varepsilon} (1-\rho) \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (n-k) \leq p \frac{M_1^2 M_2}{R + \varepsilon} (1-\rho) \sum_{k=0}^\infty \rho^k k = C_5.$$

SA-6.3 Proof of (SA-6.2). This bound is straightforward:

$$\sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left| \bar{P}_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right| = \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_j E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \frac{\nabla_i E_n(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{R_i^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \varepsilon} \right|$$

$$\leq p \frac{M_1^2 M_2}{R + \varepsilon} (1-\rho) \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} \leq p \frac{M_1^2 M_2}{R + \varepsilon} = C_6.$$

This concludes the proof of Lemma SA-6.1.

Lemma SA-6.4. Suppose Assumption SA-2.2 holds. Then the first derivative of $t \mapsto \tilde{\theta}_j(t)$ is uniformly over j and $t \in [0,T]$ bounded in absolute value by some positive constant, say D_1 .

Proof. This follows immediately from $h \leq T$, (SA-6.1), (SA-6.2) and the definition of $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)$ given in (SA-2.2).

Lemma SA-6.5. Suppose Assumption SA-2.2 holds. Then

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \sup_{j} \left| \left(\nabla_{j} E_{n} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right) \right| \leq C_{7}, \tag{SA-6.3}$$

$$\sup_{n,k} \sup_{t \in [t_n, t_{n+1}]} \left| \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \left[\dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}_i(t) + \frac{\nabla_i E_n \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_i^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right] \right| \le C_8 h, \tag{SA-6.4}$$

$$\sup_{k \le n} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E_l \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_i^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right| \le (n-k) C_9, \tag{SA-6.5}$$

$$\left| \left(P_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right) \right| \le C_{10} + C_{14}, \tag{SA-6.6}$$

$$\left| \left(\bar{P}_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) \right) \right| \le C_{15}, \tag{SA-6.7}$$

$$\left| \left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \frac{\nabla_i E_n \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_i^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right) \right| \le C_{13}, \tag{SA-6.8}$$

$$\left| \left(\frac{\nabla_{j} E_{n} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \left(2 P_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \bar{P}_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right)}{2 \left(R_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon \right)^{2} R_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)} \right) \right| \leq C_{17}, \tag{SA-6.9}$$

$$\left| \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) \frac{\nabla_i E_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))}{R_i^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) + \varepsilon}}{2(R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) + \varepsilon)} \right) \right| \le C_{18}, \tag{SA-6.10}$$

with constants C_7 , C_8 , C_9 , C_{10} , C_{11} , C_{12} , C_{13} , C_{14} , C_{15} , C_{16} , C_{17} , C_{18} defined as follows:

$$\begin{split} &C_7 := p M_2 D_1, \\ &C_8 := p M_2 \bigg[\frac{M_1 (2 C_5 + C_6)}{2 (R + \varepsilon)^2 R} + \frac{p M_1 M_2}{2 (R + \varepsilon)^2} \bigg], \\ &C_9 := p \frac{M_1 M_2}{R + \varepsilon}, \\ &C_{10} := D_1 p^2 \frac{M_1 M_2^2}{R + \varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\rho}{1 - \rho}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} C_{11} &:= \frac{D_1 p M_1 M_2}{R}, \\ C_{12} &:= D_1 p^2 \frac{M_1 M_3}{R + \varepsilon}, \\ C_{13} &:= C_{12} + p M_2 \bigg(\frac{D_1 p M_2}{R + \varepsilon} + \frac{M_1}{(R + \varepsilon)^2} C_{11} \bigg) \\ &= \frac{D_1 p^2}{R + \varepsilon} \bigg(M_1 M_3 + M_2^2 + \frac{M_1^2 M_2^2}{(R + \varepsilon) R} \bigg), \\ C_{14} &:= M_1 C_{13} \frac{\rho}{1 - \rho}, \\ C_{15} &:= \frac{D_1 p^2 M_1 M_2^2}{R + \varepsilon} + \frac{D_1 p^2 M_1^2 M_3}{R + \varepsilon} + \frac{D_1 p^2 M_1 M_2^2}{R + \varepsilon} + \frac{p M_1^2 M_2 C_{11}}{(R + \varepsilon)^2}, \\ C_{16} &:= \frac{2C_{11}}{R(R + \varepsilon)^3} + \frac{C_{11}}{(R + \varepsilon)^4}, \\ C_{17} &:= \frac{D_1 p M_2 \cdot (2C_5 + C_6)}{2(R + \varepsilon)^2 R} + \frac{M_1 \big(2(C_{10} + C_{14}) + C_{15}\big)}{2(R + \varepsilon)^2 R} + \frac{M_1 \big(2C_5 + C_6\big) C_{16}}{2}, \\ C_{18} &:= \frac{1}{2(R + \varepsilon)} \bigg(\frac{p^2 D_1 M_1 M_3}{R + \varepsilon} + \frac{p^2 D_1 M_2^2}{R + \varepsilon} + \frac{p M_1 M_2 C_{11}}{(R + \varepsilon)^2} \bigg) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{p M_1 M_2}{R + \varepsilon} \cdot \frac{C_{11}}{(R + \varepsilon)^2}. \end{split}$$

Proof of Lemma SA-6.5. We divide this argument in several steps.

SA-6.6 Proof of (SA-6.3). This bound is straightforward:

$$\left| \left(\nabla_j E_n \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right) \cdot \right| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_n \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}_i(t) \right| \le C_7.$$

SA-6.7 Proof of (SA-6.4). By (SA-2.2) we have for $t = t_{n+1}^-$

$$\left| \dot{\tilde{\theta}}_j(t) + \frac{\nabla_j E_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))}{R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) + \varepsilon} \right| \le h \left[\frac{M_1(2C_5 + C_6)}{2(R+\varepsilon)^2 R} + \frac{pM_1M_2}{2(R+\varepsilon)^2} \right],$$

giving (SA-6.4) immediately.

SA-6.8 Proof of (SA-6.5). This bound follows from the assumptions immediately.

SA-6.9 Proof of (SA-6.6). We will prove this by bounding the two terms in the expression

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} P_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right) \\
= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \sum_{u=1}^{p} \nabla_{ju} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right) \dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}_{u}(t) \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{l} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)}{R_{i}^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right) + \varepsilon} \\
+ \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right) \sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\{ \nabla_{ij} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{l} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)}{R_{i}^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right) + \varepsilon} \right\}. \tag{SA-6.11}$$

It is easily shown that the first term in (SA-6.11) is bounded in absolute value by C_{10} :

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \sum_{u=1}^{p} \nabla_{ju} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}_{u}(t) \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{l} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_{i}^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right|$$

$$\leq D_1 p^2 \frac{M_1 M_2^2}{R + \varepsilon} (1 - \rho) \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^k k$$

$$\leq D_1 p^2 \frac{M_1 M_2^2}{R + \varepsilon} (1 - \rho) \sum_{k=0}^\infty \rho^k k$$

$$= C_{10}.$$

For the proof of (SA-6.6), it is left to show that the second term in (SA-6.11) is bounded in absolute value by C_{14} .

To bound
$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\{ \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E_l \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_i^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right\}$$
, we can use

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\{ \nabla_{ij} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{l} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_{i}^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right\} \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\{ \nabla_{ij} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right\} \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{l} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_{i}^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right|$$

$$+ \left| \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\{ \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{l} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_{i}^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right\} \right|$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality applied twice,

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\{ \nabla_{ij} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right\} \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{l} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_{i}^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right|$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{s=1}^{p} \left(\nabla_{ijs} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right)^{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{u=1}^{p} \dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}_{u}(t)^{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{p} \left| \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{l} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_{i}^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right|^{2}}$$

$$\leq M_{3} p \cdot D_{1} \sqrt{p} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{p} \left| \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{l} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_{i}^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right|^{2}} \leq (n-k) C_{12}.$$

Next, for any n and j

$$\left| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right| = \frac{1}{R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}_i(t) \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)} D_1 p M_1 M_2 \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \leq C_{11}.$$
(SA-6.12)

This gives

$$\left| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\{ \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{l} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_{i}^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right\} \right| \leq \frac{\left| \sum_{s=1}^{p} \nabla_{is} E_{l} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}_{s}(t) \right|}{R_{i}^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} + \frac{\left| \nabla_{i} E_{l} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right| \cdot \left| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} R_{i}^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right|}{\left(R_{i}^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon \right)^{2}} \\
\leq \frac{D_{1} p M_{2}}{R + \varepsilon} + \frac{M_{1}}{(R + \varepsilon)^{2}} C_{11}.$$

We have obtained

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\{ \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E_l \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_i^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right\} \right| \le (n-k) C_{13}. \tag{SA-6.13}$$

This gives a bound on the second term in (SA-6.11):

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\{ \nabla_{ij} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{l} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_{i}^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right\} \right|$$

$$\leq M_{1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) (n-k) C_{13} \leq C_{14},$$

concluding the proof of (SA-6.6).

SA-6.10 Proof of (SA-6.7). We will prove this by bounding the four terms in the expression

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{n} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_{i}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right\}$$

$$= \text{Term1} + \text{Term2} + \text{Term3} + \text{Term4},$$

where

Term1

$$:= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\{ \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right\} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{n} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_{i}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon},$$

Term2

$$:= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\{ \nabla_{ij} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right\} \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{n} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_{i}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon},$$

Term3

$$:= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \frac{\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\{ \nabla_{i} E_{n} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right\}}{R_{i}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon},$$

Term4

$$:= -\sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_{j} E_{k} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \Big) \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \Big) \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{n} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \Big) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} R_{i}^{(n)} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \Big)}{\Big(R_{i}^{(n)} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \Big) + \varepsilon \Big)^{2}}.$$

To bound Term1, use $\left| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\{ \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right\} \right| \leq D_1 p M_2$, giving

$$|\text{Term1}| \le \frac{D_1 p^2 M_1 M_2^2}{R + \varepsilon} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \le \frac{D_1 p^2 M_1 M_2^2}{R + \varepsilon}.$$

To bound Term2, use $\left| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\{ \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right\} \right| \leq D_1 p M_3$, giving

$$|\text{Term2}| \le \frac{D_1 p^2 M_1^2 M_3}{R + \varepsilon} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \le \frac{D_1 p^2 M_1^2 M_3}{R + \varepsilon}.$$

To bound Term3, use $\left| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\{ \nabla_i E_n \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right\} \right| \leq D_1 p M_2$, giving

$$|\text{Term3}| \le \frac{D_1 p^2 M_1 M_2^2}{R + \varepsilon} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \le \frac{D_1 p^2 M_1 M_2^2}{R + \varepsilon}.$$

To bound Term4, use (SA-6.12), giving

$$|\text{Term4}| \le \frac{pM_1^2 M_2 C_{11}}{(R+\varepsilon)^2} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \le \frac{pM_1^2 M_2 C_{11}}{(R+\varepsilon)^2}.$$

SA-6.11 Proof of (SA-6.8). This is proven in (SA-6.13).

SA-6.12 Proof of (SA-6.9). (SA-6.12) gives

$$\left| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\{ \frac{1}{R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)} \right\} \right| = \frac{\left| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right|}{R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)^2} \le \frac{C_{11}}{R^2}, \tag{SA-6.14}$$

$$\left| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\{ \frac{1}{R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right\} \right| = \frac{\left| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right|}{\left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon \right)^2} \le \frac{C_{11}}{(R + \varepsilon)^2}, \tag{SA-6.15}$$

$$\left| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\{ \frac{1}{\left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon \right)^2} \right\} \right| = \frac{2 \left| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right|}{\left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon \right)^3} \le \frac{2C_{11}}{(R + \varepsilon)^3}.$$
 (SA-6.16)

Combining two bounds above, we have

$$\begin{split} & \left| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\{ \left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon \right)^{-2} R_j^{(n)} (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))^{-1} \right\} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\left| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\{ \left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon \right)^{-2} \right\} \right|}{R_j^{(n)} (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))} + \frac{\left| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\{ R_j^{(n)} (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))^{-1} \right\} \right|}{\left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon \right)^2} \leq C_{16}. \end{split}$$

We are ready to bound

$$\left| \left(\frac{\nabla_{j} E_{n} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \left(2 P_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \bar{P}_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right)}{2 \left(R_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon \right)^{2} R_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)} \right) \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \frac{\left(\nabla_{j} E_{n} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right) \left(2 P_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \bar{P}_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right)}{2 \left(R_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon \right)^{2} R_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)} \right| +$$

$$+ \left| \frac{\nabla_{j} E_{n} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \left(2 P_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \bar{P}_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right)}{2 \left(R_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon \right)^{2} R_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)} \right|$$

$$+ \left| \frac{\nabla_{j} E_{n} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \left(2 P_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \bar{P}_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right)}{2} \right|$$

$$\times \left(\left(R_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon \right)^{-2} R_{j}^{(n)} (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))^{-1} \right) \right| \leq C_{17}.$$

SA-6.13 Proof of (SA-6.10). Since

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_n \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \Big) \frac{\nabla_i E_n \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \Big)}{R_i^{(n)} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \Big) + \varepsilon} \right| \leq \frac{p M_1 M_2}{R + \varepsilon}$$

and, as we have already seen in the argument for (SA-6.7),

$$\left| \left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_n \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \frac{\nabla_i E_n \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_i^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right) \right| \leq \frac{p^2 D_1 M_1 M_3}{R + \varepsilon} + \frac{p^2 D_1 M_2^2}{R + \varepsilon} + \frac{p M_1 M_2 C_{11}}{\left(R + \varepsilon \right)^2},$$

we are ready to bound

$$\left| \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) \frac{\nabla_i E_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))}{R_i^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) + \varepsilon}}{2(R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) + \varepsilon)} \right) \right| \le C_{18}.$$

The proof of Lemma SA-6.5 is concluded.

Lemma SA-6.14. Suppose Assumption SA-2.2 holds. Then the second derivative of $t \mapsto \tilde{\theta}_j(t)$ is uniformly over j and $t \in [0, T]$ bounded in absolute value by some positive constant, say D_2 .

Proof. This follows from the definition of $\theta(t)$ given in (SA-2.2), $h \leq T$ and that the first derivatives of all three terms in (SA-2.2) are bounded by Lemma SA-6.5.

Lemma SA-6.15. Suppose Assumption SA-2.2 holds. Then

$$\left| \left(\nabla_j E_n \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right)^{\dots} \right| \le C_{19}, \tag{SA-6.17}$$

$$\left| \left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right)^{\dots} \right| \le C_{20}, \tag{SA-6.18}$$

$$\left| \left(\left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon \right)^{-2} \right)^{\dots} \right| \le C_{21}, \tag{SA-6.19}$$

$$\left| \left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)^{-1} \right)^{\dots} \right| \le C_{22}, \tag{SA-6.20}$$

$$\left| \left(\left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon \right)^{-2} R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)^{-1} \right) \right| \le C_{23}, \tag{SA-6.21}$$

$$\left| \left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E_l \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_i^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right)^{\dots} \right| \le (n-k) C_{24}, \tag{SA-6.22}$$

with constants C_{19} , C_{20} , C_{21} , C_{22} , C_{23} , C_{24} defined as follows:

$$\begin{split} C_{19} &:= p^2 M_3 D_1^2 + p M_2 D_2, \\ C_{20} &:= \frac{C_{11}}{R^2} p M_1 M_2 D_1 + \frac{1}{R} p^2 M_2^2 D_1^2 + \frac{1}{R} p^2 M_1 M_3 D_1^2 + \frac{1}{R} p M_1 M_2 D_2, \\ C_{21} &:= \frac{6C_{11}^2}{(R+\varepsilon)^4} + \frac{2C_{20}}{(R+\varepsilon)^3}, \\ C_{22} &:= \frac{2C_{11}^2}{R^3} + \frac{C_{20}}{R^2}, \\ C_{23} &:= \frac{C_{21}}{R} + \frac{4C_{11}^2}{R^2 (R+\varepsilon)^3} + \frac{C_{22}}{(R+\varepsilon)^2}, \\ C_{24} &:= p \left[\frac{2C_{11} \left(D_1 M_2^2 p + D_1 M_1 M_3 p \right)}{(R+\varepsilon)^2} + M_1 M_2 \left(\frac{2C_{11}^2}{(R+\varepsilon)^3} + \frac{C_{20}}{(R+\varepsilon)^2} \right) \right. \\ & + \frac{2D_1^2 M_2 M_3 p^2 + M_2 \left(D_1^2 M_3 p^2 + D_2 M_2 p \right) + M_1 \left(D_1^2 M_4 p^2 + D_2 M_3 p \right)}{R+\varepsilon} \right]. \end{split}$$

Proof of Lemma SA-6.15. We divide this argument in several steps.

SA-6.16 Proof of (SA-6.17). This bound is straightforward:

$$\left| \left(\nabla_j E_n \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right)^{\cdot \cdot} \right| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{s=1}^p \nabla_{ijs} E_n \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}_s(t) \dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}_i(t) + \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_n \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \ddot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}_t(t) \right| \leq C_{19}.$$

SA-6.17 Proof of (SA-6.18). Note that

$$\left(R_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)\right)^{\cdot\cdot\cdot} = \left(R_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)^{-1}\right)^{\cdot\cdot} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_{j} E_{k}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right) \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right) \dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}_{i}(t)
+ R_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left(\nabla_{j} E_{k}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)\right)^{\cdot\cdot} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right) \dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}_{i}(t)
+ R_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_{j} E_{k}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right) \sum_{i=1}^{p} \left(\nabla_{ij} E_{k}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)\right)^{\cdot\cdot} \dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}_{i}(t)
+ R_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_{j} E_{k}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right) \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right) \ddot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}_{i}(t),$$

giving by (SA-6.14)

$$\left| \left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right)^{\dots} \right| \leq \frac{C_{11}}{R^2} p M_1 M_2 D_1 \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) + \frac{1}{R} p^2 M_2^2 D_1^2 \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) + \frac{1}{R} p^2 M_1 M_3 D_1^2 \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) + \frac{1}{R} p M_1 M_2 D_2 \sum_{k=0} \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \leq C_{20}.$$

SA-6.18 Proof of (SA-6.19). Note that

$$\left(\left(R_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)+\varepsilon\right)^{-2}\right)^{\dots}=\frac{6\left(\left(R_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)\right)^{\cdot}\right)^{2}}{\left(R_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)+\varepsilon\right)^{4}}-\frac{2\left(R_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)\right)^{\dots}}{\left(R_{j}^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)+\varepsilon\right)^{3}},$$

giving by (SA-6.12) and (SA-6.18)

$$\left| \left(\left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon \right)^{-2} \right)^{\dots} \right| \le C_{21}.$$

SA-6.19 Proof of (SA-6.20). The bound follows from (SA-6.12), (SA-6.18) and

$$\left(R_j^{(n)}\!\left(\tilde{\pmb{\theta}}(t)\right)^{-1}\right)^{\dots} = \frac{2\!\left(\left(R_j^{(n)}\!\left(\tilde{\pmb{\theta}}(t)\right)\right)^{\cdot}\right)^2}{R_j^{(n)}\!\left(\tilde{\pmb{\theta}}(t)\right)^3} - \frac{\left(R_j^{(n)}\!\left(\tilde{\pmb{\theta}}(t)\right)\right)^{\dots}}{R_j^{(n)}\!\left(\tilde{\pmb{\theta}}(t)\right)^2}.$$

SA-6.20 Proof of (SA-6.21). Putting
$$a := \left(R_j^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right) + \varepsilon\right)^{-2}, \ b := R_j^{(n)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\right)^{-1}$$
, use
$$|a| \le \frac{1}{(R+\varepsilon)^2}, \quad |b| \le \frac{1}{R},$$
$$|\dot{a}| \le \frac{2C_{11}}{(R+\varepsilon)^3}, \quad \left|\dot{b}\right| \le \frac{C_{11}}{R^2},$$
$$|\ddot{a}| \le C_{21}, \quad \left|\ddot{b}\right| \le C_{22},$$

and

$$(ab)^{\cdot \cdot} = \ddot{a}b + 2\dot{a}\dot{b} + a\ddot{b}.$$

SA-6.21 Proof of (SA-6.22). Putting

$$a := \nabla_{ij} E_k (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)),$$

$$b := \nabla_i E_l (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)),$$

$$c := \left(R_i^{(l)} (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) + \varepsilon \right)^{-1},$$

we have

$$|a| \le M_2, \quad |\dot{a}| \le pM_3D_1, \quad |\ddot{a}| \le p^2M_4D_1^2 + pM_3D_2,$$

$$|b| \le M_1, \quad |\dot{b}| \le pM_2D_1, \quad |\ddot{b}| \le p^2M_3D_1^2 + pM_2D_2,$$

$$|c| \le \frac{1}{R+\varepsilon}, \quad |\dot{c}| \le \frac{C_{11}}{(R+\varepsilon)^2}, \quad |\ddot{c}| \le \frac{2C_{11}^2}{(R+\varepsilon)^3} + \frac{C_{20}}{(R+\varepsilon)^2}.$$

(SA-6.22) follows.

The proof of Lemma SA-6.15 is concluded.

Lemma SA-6.22. Suppose Assumption SA-2.2 holds. Then the third derivative of $t \mapsto \tilde{\theta}_j(t)$ is uniformly over j and $t \in [0,T]$ bounded in absolute value by some positive constant, say D_3 .

Proof. By (SA-6.5), (SA-6.13) and (SA-6.22)

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{l} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_{i}^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right| \leq (n-k) C_{9},$$

$$\left| \left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{l} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_{i}^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right) \right| \leq (n-k) C_{13},$$

$$\left| \left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{l} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_{i}^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right) \right| \leq (n-k) C_{24}.$$

From the definition of $t \mapsto P_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))$, it means that its derivatives up to order two are bounded. Similarly, the same is true for $t \mapsto \bar{P}_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))$.

It follows from (SA-6.19) and its proof that the derivatives up to order two of

$$t \mapsto \left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon \right)^{-2} R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)^{-1}$$

are also bounded.

These considerations give the boundedness of the second derivative of the term

$$t \mapsto \frac{\nabla_j E_n \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\Big) \bigg(2 P_j^{(n)} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\Big) + \bar{P}_j^{(n)} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\Big)\bigg)}{2 \bigg(R_j^{(n)} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\Big) + \varepsilon\bigg)^2 R_j^{(n)} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)\Big)}$$

in (SA-2.2). The boundedness of the second derivatives of the other two terms is shown analogously. By (SA-2.2) and since $h \leq T$, this means

$$\sup_{j} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left| \ddot{\tilde{\theta}}_{j}(t) \right| \le D_{3}$$

for some positive constant D_3 .

SA-7 Proof of Theorem SA-2.3

Lemma SA-7.1. Suppose Assumption SA-2.2 holds. Then for all $n \in \{0, 1, ..., \lfloor T/h \rfloor\}$, $k \in \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ we have

$$\left| \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right) - \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \right) \right| \le C_7 (n - k) h \tag{SA-7.1}$$

Proof. (SA-7.1) follows from the mean value theorem applied n-k times.

Lemma SA-7.2. In the setting of Lemma SA-7.1, for any $l \in \{k, k+1, \ldots, n-1\}$ we have

$$\left| \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{l}) \right) - \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{l+1}) \right) - h \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right) \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{l} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right)}{R_{i}^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right) + \varepsilon} \right|$$

$$\leq \left(C_{19}/2 + C_{8} + (n - l - 1)C_{13} \right) h^{2}.$$

Proof. By the Taylor expansion of $t \mapsto \nabla_j E_k(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))$ on the segment $[t_l, t_{l+1}]$ at t_{l+1} on the left

$$\left| \nabla_j E_k \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_l) \Big) - \nabla_j E_k \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{l+1}) \Big) + h \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{l+1}) \Big) \dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}_i \Big(t_{l+1}^- \Big) \right| \leq \frac{C_{19}}{2} h^2.$$

Combining this with (SA-6.4) gives

$$\left| \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{l}) \right) - \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{l+1}) \right) - h \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{l+1}) \right) \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{l} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{l+1}) \right)}{R_{i}^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{l+1}) \right) + \varepsilon} \right|$$

$$\leq \left(C_{19}/2 + C_{8} \right) h^{2}.$$
(SA-7.2)

Now applying the mean-value theorem n-l-1 times, we have

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{l+1}) \right) \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{l} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{l+1}) \right)}{R_{i}^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{l+1}) \right) + \varepsilon} - \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{l+2}) \right) \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{l} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{l+2}) \right)}{R_{i}^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{l+2}) \right) + \varepsilon} \right| \leq C_{13} h,$$
...

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_l \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n-1}) \Big) \frac{\nabla_i E_k \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n-1}) \Big)}{R_i^{(l)} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n-1}) \Big) + \varepsilon} - \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_k \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \Big) \frac{\nabla_i E_l \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \Big)}{R_i^{(l)} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \Big) + \varepsilon} \right| \le C_{13} h,$$

and in particular

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{l+1}) \right) \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{l} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{l+1}) \right)}{R_{i}^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{l+1}) \right) + \varepsilon} - \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right) \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{l} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right)}{R_{i}^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right) + \varepsilon} \right| \\ \leq (n - l - 1) C_{13} h.$$

Combining this with (SA-7.2), we conclude the proof of Lemma SA-7.2.

Lemma SA-7.3. In the setting of Lemma SA-7.1,

$$\left| \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{k}) \right) - \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right) - h \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{l} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right)}{R_{i}^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right) + \varepsilon} \right| \\
\leq \left((n-k)(C_{19}/2 + C_{8}) + \frac{(n-k)(n-k-1)}{2} C_{13} \right) h^{2}.$$

Proof. Fix $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Note that

$$\begin{split} & \left| \nabla_{j} E_{k} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{k}) \Big) - \nabla_{j} E_{k} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \Big) - h \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \Big) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{l} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \Big)}{R_{i}^{(l)} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \Big) + \varepsilon} \right| \\ & = \left| \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \left\{ \nabla_{j} E_{k} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{l}) \Big) - \nabla_{j} E_{k} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{l+1}) \Big) - h \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \Big) \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{l} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \Big)}{R_{i}^{(l)} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \Big) + \varepsilon} \right\} \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \left| \nabla_{j} E_{k} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{l}) \Big) - \nabla_{j} E_{k} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{l+1}) \Big) - h \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \Big) \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{l} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \Big)}{R_{i}^{(l)} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \Big) + \varepsilon} \right| \end{split}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{(a)}}{\leq} \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \left(C_{19}/2 + C_8 + (n-l-1)C_{13} \right) h^2 = \left((n-k)(C_{19}/2 + C_8) + \frac{(n-k)(n-k-1)}{2}C_{13} \right) h^2,$$

where (a) is by Lemma SA-7.2.

Lemma SA-7.4. Suppose Assumption SA-2.2 holds. Then for all $n \in \{0, 1, ..., |T/h|\}$

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right) \right)^2 - R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \right)^2 \right| \le C_{25} h \tag{SA-7.3}$$

and

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \left(\nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{k}) \right) \right)^{2} - R_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right)^{2} - 2h P_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right) \right| \le C_{26} h^{2}$$
 (SA-7.4)

with C_{25} and C_{26} defined as follows:

$$\begin{split} C_{25}(\rho) &:= 2M_1C_7 \frac{\rho}{1-\rho}, \\ C_{26}(\rho) &:= M_1|C_{19} + 2C_8 - C_{13}| \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} \\ &+ \left(M_1C_{13} + |C_{19} + 2C_8 - C_{13}|C_9 + \frac{(C_{19} + 2C_8 - C_{13})^2}{4} \right) \frac{\rho(1+\rho)}{(1-\rho)^2} \\ &+ \left(C_{13}C_9 + \frac{C_{13}}{2}|C_{19} + 2C_8 - C_{13}| \right) \frac{\rho(1+4\rho+\rho^2)}{(1-\rho)^3} + \frac{C_{13}^2}{4} \cdot \frac{\rho(1+11\rho+11\rho^2+\rho^3)}{(1-\rho)^4} \end{split}$$

Proof. Note that

$$\left| \left(\nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{k}) \right) \right)^{2} - \left(\nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right) \right)^{2} \right| \\
\leq \left| \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{k}) \right) - \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right) \right| \cdot \left| \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{k}) \right) + \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right) \right| \\
\stackrel{\text{(a)}}{\leq} C_{7}(n-k)h \cdot 2M_{1},$$

where (a) is by (SA-7.1). Using the triangle inequality, we can conclude

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left(\nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{k}) \right) \right)^{2} - R_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right)^{2} \right|$$

$$\leq 2M_{1} C_{7} h (1-\rho) \sum_{k=0}^{n} (n-k) \rho^{n-k} = 2M_{1} C_{7} h (1-\rho) \sum_{k=0}^{n} k \rho^{k} = 2M_{1} C_{7} \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} h.$$

(SA-7.3) is proven.

We continue by showing

$$\left| \left(\nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{k}) \right) \right)^{2} - \left(\nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right) \right)^{2} \right. \\
\left. - 2 \nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right) h \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{l} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right)}{R_{i}^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right) + \varepsilon} \right| \\
\leq 2 M_{1} \left((n-k) (C_{19}/2 + C_{8}) + \frac{(n-k)(n-k-1)}{2} C_{13} \right) h^{2} \\
+ 2 (n-k) C_{9} \left((n-k) (C_{19}/2 + C_{8}) + \frac{(n-k)(n-k-1)}{2} C_{13} \right) h^{3} \\
+ \left((n-k) (C_{19}/2 + C_{8}) + \frac{(n-k)(n-k-1)}{2} C_{13} \right)^{2} h^{4}.$$
(SA-7.5)

To prove this, use

$$|a^2 - b^2 - 2bKh| \le 2|b| \cdot |a - b - Kh| + 2|K| \cdot h \cdot |a - b - Kh| + (a - b - Kh)^2$$

with

$$a := \nabla_j E_k \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \Big), \quad b := \nabla_j E_k \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \Big), \quad K := \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \Big) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E_l \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \Big)}{R_i^{(l)} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \Big) + \varepsilon},$$

and bounding

$$|a-b-Kh| \stackrel{\text{(a)}}{\leq} \left((n-k)(C_{19}/2 + C_8) + \frac{(n-k)(n-k-1)}{2}C_{13} \right) h^2,$$

 $|b| \leq M_1, \quad |K| \leq (n-k)C_9,$

where (a) is by Lemma SA-7.3. (SA-7.5) is proven.

We turn to the proof of (SA-7.4). By (SA-7.5) and the triangle inequality

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left(\nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{k}) \right) \right)^{2} - R_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right)^{2} - 2h P_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right) \right|$$

$$\leq (1-\rho) \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} \left(\operatorname{Poly}_{1}(n-k)h^{2} + \operatorname{Poly}_{2}(n-k)h^{3} + \operatorname{Poly}_{3}(n-k)h^{4} \right)$$

$$= (1-\rho) \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{k} \left(\operatorname{Poly}_{1}(k)h^{2} + \operatorname{Poly}_{2}(k)h^{3} + \operatorname{Poly}_{3}(k)h^{4} \right),$$

where

$$Poly_{1}(k) := 2M_{1}\left(k(C_{19}/2 + C_{8}) + \frac{k(k-1)}{2}C_{13}\right) = M_{1}C_{13}k^{2} + M_{1}(C_{19} + 2C_{8} - C_{13})k,$$

$$Poly_{2}(k) := 2kC_{9}\left(k(C_{19}/2 + C_{8}) + \frac{k(k-1)}{2}C_{13}\right) = C_{13}C_{9}k^{3} + (C_{19} + 2C_{8} - C_{13})C_{9}k^{2},$$

$$Poly_{3}(k) := \left(k(C_{19}/2 + C_{8}) + \frac{k(k-1)}{2}C_{13}\right)^{2}$$

$$= \frac{C_{13}^{2}}{4}k^{4} + \frac{C_{13}}{2}(C_{19} + 2C_{8} - C_{13})k^{3} + \frac{1}{4}(C_{19} + 2C_{8} - C_{13})^{2}k^{2}.$$

It is left to combine this with

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} k \rho^{k} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k \rho^{k} = \frac{\rho}{(1-\rho)^{2}},$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} k^{2} \rho^{k} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{2} \rho^{k} = \frac{\rho(1+\rho)}{(1-\rho)^{3}},$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} k^{3} \rho^{k} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{3} \rho^{k} = \frac{\rho(1+4\rho+\rho^{2})}{(1-\rho)^{4}},$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} k^{4} \rho^{k} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{4} \rho^{k} = \frac{\rho(1+11\rho+11\rho^{2}+\rho^{3})}{(1-\rho)^{5}}.$$

This gives

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left(\nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{k}) \right) \right)^{2} - R_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right)^{2} - 2h P_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right) \right| \right|$$

$$\leq \left(M_{1}C_{13} \frac{\rho(1+\rho)}{(1-\rho)^{2}} + M_{1}|C_{19} + 2C_{8} - C_{13}| \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} \right) h^{2}$$

$$+ \left(C_{13}C_{9} \frac{\rho(1+4\rho+\rho^{2})}{(1-\rho)^{3}} + |C_{19} + 2C_{8} - C_{13}|C_{9} \frac{\rho(1+\rho)}{(1-\rho)^{2}} \right) h^{3}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{C_{13}^{2}}{4} \cdot \frac{\rho(1+11\rho+11\rho^{2}+\rho^{3})}{(1-\rho)^{4}} + \frac{C_{13}}{2}|C_{19} + 2C_{8} - C_{13}| \frac{\rho(1+4\rho+\rho^{2})}{(1-\rho)^{3}} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4}(C_{19} + 2C_{8} - C_{13})^{2} \frac{\rho(1+\rho)}{(1-\rho)^{2}} h^{4}$$

$$\leq \left[M_{1}|C_{19} + 2C_{8} - C_{13}| \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} \right]$$

$$+ \left(M_{1}C_{13} + |C_{19} + 2C_{8} - C_{13}|C_{9} + \frac{(C_{19} + 2C_{8} - C_{13})^{2}}{4} \right) \frac{\rho(1+\rho)}{(1-\rho)^{2}}$$

$$+ \left(C_{13}C_{9} + \frac{C_{13}}{2}|C_{19} + 2C_{8} - C_{13}| \right) \frac{\rho(1+4\rho+\rho^{2})}{(1-\rho)^{3}}$$

$$+ \frac{C_{13}^{2}}{4} \cdot \frac{\rho(1+11\rho+11\rho^{2}+\rho^{3})}{(1-\rho)^{4}} h^{2},$$

where in (a) we used that h < 1. (SA-7.4) is proven.

Lemma SA-7.5. Suppose Assumption SA-2.2 holds. Then

$$\left| \left(\sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left(\nabla_{j} E_{k} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{k}) \right) \right)^{2}} + \varepsilon \right)^{-1} - \left(R_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right) + \varepsilon \right)^{-1} + h \frac{P_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right)}{\left(R_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right) + \varepsilon \right)^{2} R_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right)} \right| \leq \frac{C_{25}(\rho)^{2} + R^{2} C_{26}(\rho)}{2R^{3} (R + \varepsilon)^{2}} h^{2}.$$

Proof. Note that if $a \geq R^2$, $b \geq R^2$, we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{a} + \varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{b} + \varepsilon} + \frac{a - b}{2\left(\sqrt{b} + \varepsilon\right)^2 \sqrt{b}} \right|$$

$$= \frac{(a - b)^2}{2\sqrt{b}\left(\sqrt{b} + \varepsilon\right)\left(\sqrt{a} + \varepsilon\right)\left(\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}\right)} \underbrace{\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{b} + \varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}} \right\}}_{\leq 2/R}$$

$$\leq \frac{(a - b)^2}{2R^3(R + \varepsilon)^2}.$$

By the triangle inequality,

$$\left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{a} + \varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{b} + \varepsilon} + \frac{c}{2\left(\sqrt{b} + \varepsilon\right)^2 \sqrt{b}} \right| \le \frac{(a - b)^2}{2R^3 (R + \varepsilon)^2} + \frac{|a - b - c|}{2\left(\sqrt{b} + \varepsilon\right)^2 \sqrt{b}}$$

$$\le \frac{(a - b)^2}{2R^3 (R + \varepsilon)^2} + \frac{|a - b - c|}{2R (R + \varepsilon)^2}$$

Apply this with

$$a := \sum_{k=0}^{n} \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right) \right)^2,$$

$$b := R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \right)^2,$$

$$c := 2h P_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \right)$$

and use bounds

$$|a-b| \le 2M_1C_7\frac{\rho}{1-\rho}h, \quad |a-b-c| \le C_{26}(\rho)h^2$$

by Lemma SA-7.4.

SA-7.6. We are finally ready to prove Theorem SA-2.3.

Proof of Theorem SA-2.3. By (SA-6.9) and (SA-6.10), the first derivative of the function

$$t \mapsto \left(\frac{\nabla_{j} E_{n} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \left(2 P_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \bar{P}_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right)}{2 \left(R_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon \right)^{2} R_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{ij} E_{n} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \frac{\nabla_{i} E_{n} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_{i}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon}}{2 \left(R_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon \right)} \right)$$

is bounded in absolute value by a positive constant $C_{27} = C_{17} + C_{18}$. By (SA-2.2), this means

$$\left| \ddot{\tilde{\theta}}_{j}(t) + \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\frac{\nabla_{j} E_{n} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right) \right| \leq C_{27} h.$$

Combining this with

$$\left| \tilde{\theta}_j(t_{n+1}) - \tilde{\theta}_j(t_n) - \dot{\tilde{\theta}}_j(t_n^+)h - \frac{\ddot{\tilde{\theta}}_j(t_n^+)}{2}h^2 \right| \le \frac{D_3}{6}$$

by Taylor expansion, we get

$$\left| \tilde{\theta}_{j}(t_{n+1}) - \tilde{\theta}_{j}(t_{n}) - \dot{\tilde{\theta}}_{j}(t_{n}^{+})h + \frac{h^{2}}{2} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\frac{\nabla_{j} E_{n}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))}{R_{j}^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) + \varepsilon} \right) \right|_{t=t_{n}^{+}}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{D_{3}}{6} + \frac{C_{27}}{2} \right) h^{3}.$$
(SA-7.6)

Using

$$\left| \dot{\tilde{\theta}}_{j}(t_{n}) + \frac{\nabla_{j} E_{n} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right)}{R_{j}^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \right) + \varepsilon} \right| \leq C_{28} h$$

with C_{28} defined as

$$C_{28} := \frac{M_1(2C_5 + C_6)}{2(R+\varepsilon)^2 R} + \frac{pM_1M_2}{2(R+\varepsilon)^2}$$

by (SA-2.2), and calculating the derivative, it is easy to show

$$\left| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\frac{\nabla_j E_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))}{R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) + \varepsilon} \right) \right|_{t=t_n^+} - \text{FrDer} \right| \le C_{29}h$$
 (SA-7.7)

for a positive constant C_{29} , where

$$\begin{split} \text{FrDer} &:= \frac{\text{FrDerNum}}{\left(R_j^{(n)} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n)\Big) + \varepsilon\right)^2 R_j^{(n)} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n)\Big)} \\ \text{FrDerNum} &:= \nabla_j E_n \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n)\Big) \bar{P}_j^{(n)} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n)\Big) \\ &- \Big(R_j^{(n)} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n)\Big) + \varepsilon\Big) R_j^{(n)} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n)\Big) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_n \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n)\Big) \frac{\nabla_i E_n \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n)\Big)}{R_i^{(n)} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n)\Big) + \varepsilon}, \\ C_{29} &:= \left\{\frac{pM_2}{R + \varepsilon} + \frac{M_1^2 M_2 p}{(R + \varepsilon)^2 R}\right\} C_{28}. \end{split}$$

From (SA-7.6) and (SA-7.7), by the triangle inequality

$$\left| \tilde{\theta}_j(t_{n+1}) - \tilde{\theta}_j(t_n) - \dot{\tilde{\theta}}_j(t_n^+)h + \frac{h^2}{2} \text{FrDer} \right| \le \left(\frac{D_3}{6} + \frac{C_{27} + C_{29}}{2} \right) h^3,$$

which, using (SA-2.2), is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \tilde{\theta}_{j}(t_{n+1}) - \tilde{\theta}_{j}(t_{n}) + h \frac{\nabla_{j} E_{n} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \Big)}{R_{j}^{(n)} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \Big) + \varepsilon} - h^{2} \frac{\nabla_{j} E_{n} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \Big) P_{j}^{(n)} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \Big)}{\Big(R_{j}^{(n)} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \Big) + \varepsilon \Big)^{2} R_{j}^{(n)} \Big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_{n}) \Big)} \right| \\ & \leq \left(\frac{D_{3}}{6} + \frac{C_{27} + C_{29}}{2} \right) h^{3}. \end{aligned}$$

It is left to combine this with Lemma SA-7.5, giving the assertion of the theorem with

$$C_1 = \frac{D_3}{6} + \frac{C_{27} + C_{29}}{2} + M_1 \frac{C_{25}^2 + R^2 C_{26}}{2R^3 (R + \varepsilon)^2}.$$

SA-8 Numerical experiments

SA-8.1 Models. We use small modifications of default Keras Resnet-50 and Resnet-101 architectures for training on CIFAR-10 and CIFAR-100 (since image sizes are not the same as Imagenet), after verifying their correctness. The first convolution layer conv1 has 3×3 kernel, stride 1 and "same" padding. Then comes batch normalization, and relu. Max pooling is removed, and otherwise conv2_x to conv5_x are as described in [2], see Table 1 there (downsampling is performed by the first convolution of each bottleneck block, same as in this original paper, not the middle one as in version 1.5^2 ; all convolution layers have learned biases). After conv5 there is global average pooling, 10 or 100-way fully connected layer (for CIFAR-10 and CIFAR-100 respectively), and softmax.

SA-8.2 Data augmentation. We subtract the per-pixel mean and divide by standard deviation, and we use the data augmentation scheme from [3], following [2], section 4.2. We take inspiration and some code snippets from [4] (though we do not use their models). During each pass over the training dataset, each 32×32 initial image is padded evenly with zeros so that it becomes 36×36 , then random crop is applied so that the picture becomes 32×32 again, and finally random (probability 0.5) horizontal (left to right) flip is used.

¹https://github.com/keras-team/keras/blob/v2.13.1/keras/applications/resnet.py

²https://catalog.ngc.nvidia.com/orgs/nvidia/resources/resnet_50_v1_5_for_pytorch

References

- [1] Avrajit Ghosh, He Lyu, Xitong Zhang, and Rongrong Wang. "Implicit regularization in Heavy-ball momentum accelerated stochastic gradient descent". In: *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*. 2023. URL: https://openreview.net/forum?id=ZzdBhtEH9yB.
- [2] Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. "Deep residual learning for image recognition". In: *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 2016, pp. 770–778.
- [3] Chen-Yu Lee, Saining Xie, Patrick Gallagher, Zhengyou Zhang, and Zhuowen Tu. "Deeply-supervised nets". In: *Artificial intelligence and statistics*. Pmlr. 2015, pp. 562–570.
- [4] Chia-Hung Yuan. Training CIFAR-10 with TensorFlow2(TF2). https://github.com/lionelmessi6410/tensorflow2-cifar. 2021.